



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,633	12/27/2001	Tetsuya Nishiyama	111598	7745
25944 7	7590 04/09/2003			
	RRIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI		0 KINKEAD, ARNOLD M		ARNOLD M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A marting at the	Xt	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	10/026,633	NISHIYAMA ET AL.	
	Omes Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE - 541:	Arnold M Kinkead	2817	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided period for the provided period for reply will. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) <u></u> □		This action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims	owance except for formal matt er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		·	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	on donordion.		
_	Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-14 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 15-17</u> is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement		
	on Papers	. or orodion roquiromonic.		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12)[] 7	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	_	, , , , ,	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in App	olication No.	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Eee the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	iority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	eceived in this National Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has been	n received	
15)∐ Á	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment		J	<del>-</del> ·	
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
. Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev	04.04	Action Summary	Part of Paner No. 7	

Art Unit: 2817

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-4, 8 and 10-14 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al(US 6,525,617) in view of Rasmussen(US 5,561,398).

Application/Control Number: 10/026,633

Art Unit: 2817

The reference by Chan et al discloses an oscillator with two oscillator stages (65-1,65-2), each providing the required 90 degree phase difference. A first buffer(64-1) and second buffer circuit(64-2) are also present(see figure 5). The use of an LC circuit for resonance in each stage is shown(see prior art figure 1).

The reference is silent on several convention features for the oscillator stages such as the use of a variable capacitor(s), varactors coupled oppositely with a tuning input as well as the biasing for the buffer stages being fixed to allow for gain control and thus desired phase noise is obtained. No RC type resonator is shown. The integration of such a circuit is not discussed.

The reference by Rasmussen discloses such oscillator stages used in a ring VCO. Figure 3 shows a particular stage with varactors(50,51) and inductor(56) and biasing for each stage is shown(Ibias). This reference is used for showing several of the above details; with regards the use of RC instead of LC tank circuits, this is a simple matter of design consideration as they both allow for the equivalent band selection.

The integration of such oscillators using MOSCAPS(FET type varactors), stripline elements(for inductive elements), are notoriously well known in the art and allows a more compact unit. The biasing for the buffer stages and the oscillator allows for gain control and thus phase noise is also maintained which is important for ring oscillators.

In light of the above it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have recognized that the general ring LC VCO of Chan et al may include several known ideas such as disclosed by Rasmussen including the RC type resonator and integration of elements as discussed above to allow for and enhanced operation and compact ring oscillator. The varactors allowing for the tuning of each stage and the bias control allowing for reduced phase noise control.

Page 4

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 and 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 5. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold M Kinkead whose telephone number is 703-305-3486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30 am -5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 703-308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

> Arriold M Kinkead **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2817

Arnold Kinkead

April 7, 2003